Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/838,810	STRUHSAKER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Harry Vartanian	2634
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Harry Vartanian</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Daniel Venglarik(Reg# 39,409)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 April 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:  1 and 6  Prior art documents discussed:  6,144,697 (specifically figure 5)  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER In light of Patent 6,144,697 examiner suggested adding the matter feedback filter is a rake filter could have made independent Claim	er of Claim 6 to Claim 1. Specifiy	
пеевраск ппет із а таке ішет соців паче таве інверенвені Сіант	T allowable over the phorait.	
<ul> <li>Part III.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		